

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/646,988	08/22/2003	Darius D. Gaskins	CNTR.2209	CNTR.2209 1141	
23669	7590 02/09/2006		EXAMINER		
HUFFMAN LAW GROUP, P.C. 1832 N. CASCADE AVE.			CONNOLLY, MARK A		
	SCADE AVE. O SPRINGS, CO 80907-74	449	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2115		
			DATE MAILED: 02/09/2006	DATE MAILED: 02/09/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/646,988	3	GASKINS ET AL.			
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit	_		
		Mark Conn	olly	2115			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the	cover sheet with the co	orrespondence address			
A SHOWHIC - External after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THI 1.136(a). In no ever od will apply and will ute, cause the applic	S COMMUNICATION  nt, however, may a reply be time  expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
2a) <u></u> —	<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22 August 2003</u>.</li> <li>This action is <b>FINAL</b>. 2b) This action is non-final.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.</li> </ol>						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)☐ 6)☒ 7)☐ 8)☐ <b>Applicati</b> 9)☐ 10)☐	Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdred  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/on Papers  The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the oath or declaration is objected to be objec	rawn from consideration reconstruction reconstructi	quirement.  objected to by the Earlie held in abeyance. See dif the drawing(s) is obje	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔯 Inforn	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 No(s)/Mail Date _8/22/03, 4/7/04, 9/3/05	8)	4)  Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5)  Notice of Informal Pa 6)  Other:	e			

Application/Control Number: 10/646,988 Page 2

Art Unit: 2115

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-22 have been presented for examination.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-2, 6-16 and 20-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mittal et al [Mittal] US Pat No 5719800.
- 4. Referring to claim 1, Mittal teaches the apparatus including:
  - a. a plurality of functional units each including a corresponding plurality of activity outputs, for indicating when a respective functional unit is enabled [figs. 1 and 5].
  - b. utilization assessment logic, coupled to said plurality of activity outputs, for assessing activity thereof to determine a current total power consumption value for the microprocessor [col. 5 lines 30-42 and col. 11 lines 54-58].
  - c. power control logic, coupled to said utilization assessment logic, for comparing said current total power consumption value with a threshold power value included in a specified power profile [col. 5 lines 30-42 and col. 11 lines 54-58].
  - d. a power consumption controller, coupled to said power management logic and said plurality of functional units, for engaging one of a plurality of power reduction modes if said current total power consumption value exceeds said threshold power value [abstract and col. 5 lines 25-29].

Application/Control Number: 10/646,988 Page 3

Art Unit: 2115

5. Referring to claim 2, Mittal teaches not using the cache but rather access external memory instead [col. 7 lines 43-50, col. 10 lines 52-59 and col. 11 lines 54-58]. Not accessing a cache is interpreted as disabling the usage of the cache.

- 6. Referring to claim 6, Mittal teaches reducing the rate of instructions [col. 3 lines 14-17]. It is interpreted that the instructions would be issued to an execute unit.
- 7. Referring to claims 7 and 8, Mittal teaches reducing a voltage and/or clock frequency [col. 5 lines 1-4].
- 8. Referring to claim 9, this is rejected on the same basis as set forth hereinabove. Furthermore, Mittal teaches assessing the activity of individual functional units [fig. 5 and col. 11 lines 38-39 and 54-58].
- 9. Referring to claim 10, Mittal teaches a control bus coupled between power management logic and power consumption controller [109 fig. 1A and 507, 508 fig. 5].
- 10. Referring to claims 11 and 12, Mittal teaches sending commands over a control bus to instruct power consumption controller to control the power consumption of either an individual functional unit or all functional units [col. 11 lines 21-32 and col. 12 lines 28-41].
- 11. Referring to claim 13, this is rejected on the same basis as set forth hereinabove.
- 12. Referring to claim 14, this is rejected on the same basis as set forth hereinabove. Mittal teaches the apparatus and therefore teaches the method performed by the apparatus. In addition, Mittal further teaches prescribing a power profile to the device [col. 5 lines 43-61].
- 13. Referring to claims 15-16 and 20-22, these are rejected on the same basis as set forth hereinabove.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 10/646,988 Page 4

Art Unit: 2115

14. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 15. Claims 2-6 and 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mittal.
- Referring to claims 2-6, applicant(s) numerous definitions of a "functional unit" (claims 2-6) is construed to be an admission that the criticality does not reside in the type of "functional unit" utilized and hence obvious variations of one another. Mittal explicitly teaches a functional unit as being a functional unit as being a cache as shown above in regards to claim 2.

  Furthermore, Mittal teaches that branch predictors and floating point units also consume substantial amounts of power just like cache memories [col. 2 lines 25-31 and col. 3 lines 5-8].
- 17. Referring to claims 17-19, these are rejected on the same basis as set forth hereinabove.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Connolly whose telephone number is (571) 272-3666. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8AM-5PM (except every first Friday).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas C. Lee can be reached on (571) 272-3667. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/646,988

Art Unit: 2115

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark Connolly Examiner Art Unit 2115

mc January 30, 2006

Mars of the same o

Page 5